

4/7/76

Dear Mark,

Thanks for the thoughtfulness of the return-addressed envelope. I appreciate every saving in time, no matter how minor.

And the Cavalier story, which is more informative than AP's, which was not used in Washington. I saw it in Memphis.

I was never hopeful of real performance by or accomplishment in the Congress once Lane returned to DC and was joined by Bud. There were brief moments when I did expect more of Schweiker and of Tiny and Rick in Downing's office, but they were very brief. So there not only is not disenchantment but I continue to look ahead, still with hope.

What you suggest is what I thought last October Schweiker had accepted. I did propose this to him, offering all the documents and relieving him of any responsibility to credit Post Mortem. This was right after I sent the negatives to the printer and before I was hospitalized.

I made identically the same proposal to the Downing people last summer and again to Rick, who phoned me prior to the Rules Committee appearance.

Or, they know what they did, they know of this evidence, and they made their decisions. This is why I have not spent time on the Hill. No casting of seed upon the earth.

The report is due tomorrow so I see no reason to contact the Schweiker staff, which is for all practical purposes out of existence by the time you get this, except for his personal staff. (Marsden was with us.)

I don't now recall when I first got the Burkley stuff with certainty, but I think it was right after I completed the draft of the first part of PM and for this reason removed the Burkley stuff from it. That was retyped during 9/67. I got some from Secret Service and forced some into Archives, where it was misfiled!

Best,